

[2nd March 1928]

(b) whether the above scheme has been countersigned by the District Collector of South Arcot;

(c) whether the Superintending Engineer of Tanjore circle has submitted any proposals regarding the same;

(d) whether the previous drainage channel was only about a mile in length falling into the Vellar river;

(e) whether the proposed drainage channel will have to be more than seven miles long and will not only cost an enormous expenditure, but will cause immense harm towards its whole length to cultivation by inundation; and

(f) whether the Government propose to depute any responsible British officer to examine and report on the matter?

A.—(a) Yes, but the sluice was to have been constructed 10 furlongs below the infall of the Athukurichi odai.

(b) The papers before the Government do not show whether the Collector countersigned the estimate for the scheme.

(c) Revised proposals have been received from the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

(d) & (e) The hon. Member is under a misapprehension. The sanctioned scheme and the revised proposals are explained by the Superintending Engineer in his report,<sup>a</sup> dated 15th August 1926, which is laid on the table.

(f) No.

### Police

*Personal investigation of cases by superior officers of the Police department.*

1677 Q.—Mr. A. PARASURAMA RAO: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(a) whether any rules have been made by Government prescribing personal investigation of cases by superior officers of the Police department; and

(b) if so, whether the Government will be pleased to lay them on the table of the House?

A.—(a) Yes.

(b) Relevant extracts<sup>b</sup> from the Police Orders are placed on the table.

[For one more unstated question, please see page 248 infra.]

## STARRED QUESTIONS

### Village Panchayats

*Panchayat schools in Cheyyar Taluk Board.*

\* 1678. Q.—Rao Sahib R. SRINIVASAN: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) the number of villages in the Cheyyar Taluk Board area;

<sup>a</sup> Printed as Appendix IV on pages 299-300 infra.

<sup>b</sup> Printed as Appendix V on pages 301-302 infra.

2nd March 1928]

(b) the number of panchayat schools established in the area for the year ending 1926-27;

(c) the number of panchayat schools the Government propose to open in that area for the year ending 1927-28;

(d) the number of panchayat schools opened in that area since the beginning of the year 1927-28;

(e) the number of panchayat schools in the area in which children of the depressed classes are admitted; and

(f) the number of children of all classes and the number of children of the depressed classes attending panchayat schools in the area?

A.—(a) to (f) Information has been called for from the Registrar-General of Panchayats.

*Admission of depressed classes students in panchayat schools.*

\* 1679 Q.—RAO SAHIB R. SRINIVASAN: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) the number of panchayat schools in all the districts of the Presidency;

(b) the number of such schools in which children of the depressed classes are admitted;

(c) the number of children of the depressed classes and of all other classes attending the panchayat schools; and

(d) if the Government have no information, whether they will be pleased to call for it?

A.—(a) 800.

(b) to (d) The Government have called for the information.

### Local Boards

*Constitution of the Union Board of Alandur, etc.*

\* 1680 Q.—MR. M. A. MANICKAVELU NAYAKAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether in pursuance of the publication of G.O. Mis. No. 2139, Law (General), dated 7th July 1927, the District Collector of Chingleput has recommended to the Government in the month of October 1927 for the exclusion of Sadar Bazaar area from the Cantonment of St. Thomas' Mount cum Pallavaram and for the formation of a union board under the Madras Local Boards Act, in the excluded area;

(b) whether it is a fact that resolutions have been passed by the Saidapet Taluk Board and Chingleput District Board to the effect that the excluded area should be constituted into a union from 1st April 1928;

(c) if so, what steps have been taken by Government to give effect to the recommendations of the local boards concerned; and

(d) whether they will be pleased to expedite the same;

A.—(a) In reply to a reference from the Government the Collector of Chingleput reported in November 1927 that he was in favour of constituting Alandur a union.

(b) Yes.

(c) & (d) The matter is under consideration. It is expected that a decision will be arrived at shortly.